Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# H. Surya Prakash Rao,<sup>a</sup> S. Rajamathe,<sup>a</sup> S. Shanmuga Sundara Raj<sup>b</sup>\* and Hoong-Kun Fun<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Pondicherry
University, Pondicherry 605014, India,
<sup>b</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Chickusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan, and <sup>c</sup>X-ray
Crystallography Unit, School of Physics, Universiti Sains Malaysia, 11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

Correspondence e-mail: sssraj@crystal.hix.nagoya-u.ac.jp

#### **Key indicators**

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 K Mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.003 Å R factor = 0.056 wR factor = 0.112 Data-to-parameter ratio = 19.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

 $\odot$  2001 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

# 8a-Ethyl 2-methyl (4a*R*,8aS)-3-oxoperhydro-2,8anaphthalenedicarboxylate

In the title compound,  $C_{15}H_{22}O_5$ , the cyclohexane ring *A* adopts a screw-boat conformation and ring *B* adopts a chair conformation. The carboxylate groups are in a synclinal orientation with respect to each other.

Received 6 November 2001 Accepted 23 November 2001 Online 30 November 2001

## Comment

The title compound, (I), was prepared in connection with studies on the effect of remote functional groups on the stereoselectivity of cyclic  $\beta$ -keto-ester alkylations (Rao *et al.*, 1994). Spectroscopic data for the title compound indicate predominance of the enol form, which in solution is in equilibrium with the corresponding keto form. In order to elucidate the molecular conformation, the structure analysis was carried out.



The bond lengths and angles observed are comparable with those observed in related structures (Rajamathe *et al.*, 1999; Rao *et al.*, 1999). The C8–C13 bond distance [1.528 (2) Å] is longer than the normal  $Csp^3-Csp^2$  bond distance of 1.507 Å (Allen *et al.*, 1987). Ring A adopts a screw-boat conformation and ring B adopts a chair conformation. The substituents at the fusion adopt an axial orientation. The carboxylate group attached to the ring A is in an equatorial orientation and the C1–C10–C11–O2 torsion angle of 172.2 (2)° characterizes the antiperiplanar conformation of atoms C1 and O2. The carboxylate groups are in a synclinal orientation with respect to each other. The X-ray crystal structure shows a clear preference for the enol form. The stabilization of the enol form is due to intramolecular hydrogen bonding between a carbonyl-O and the H atom on the enol oxygen.

## **Experimental**

To 0.110 g (2.6 mmol) of a 57% mineral oil dispersion of sodium hydride (twice washed with anhydrous benzene) in 2 ml of dry dimethyl carbonate, 0.224g (1 mmol) of ethyl (4aS,8aR)-2-oxoperhydro-4a-naphthalenecarboxylate in 5 ml of dry dimethyl carbonate was added. The mixture was refluxed for 10 h, after which it was



#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0937P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.056$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$wR(F^2) = 0.112$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
S = 0.89	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
3500 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.27 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
182 parameters	Extinction correction: SHELXTL
H-atom parameters constrained	Extinction coefficient: 0.091 (13)

## Table 1

selected	interatonne	uistances	(A).

O1-C1	1.343 (2)	O4-C13	1.204 (2)
O2-C11	1.225 (2)	O5-C13	1.328 (2)
O3-C11	1.338 (3)	O5-C14	1.453 (2)
O3-C12	1.443 (3)		

Table 2		
Hydrogen-bonding geometry	(Å,	°).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
$O1-H1A\cdots O2$	0.82	1.86	2.584 (2)	146

#### Figure 1

The structure of title compound showing 30% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.

poured into 50 ml of water. The aqueous layer was acidified to pH 4 and extracted with ether. The combined ether layers were washed with water, then brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and concentrated, to give 0.280 g of crude product, which was chromotographed over silica gel (100–200 mesh) using a hexane–ethyl acetate solvent mixture (90:10) to yield 0.226 g (80%) of crystalline solid. Diffraction-quality crystals were obtained by further recrystallization from an ether–hexane solvent mixture.

#### Crystal data

C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>22</sub> O <sub>5</sub>	Z = 2
$M_r = 282.33$	$D_x = 1.252 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Triclinic, P1	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 6.8206 (3)  Å	Cell parameters from 2709
b = 9.5663 (4)  Å	reflections
c = 11.9330 (4) Å	$\theta = 1.7-56.7^{\circ}$
$\alpha = 97.092 \ (1)^{\circ}$	$\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 97.502 \ (1)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2) K
$\gamma = 100.954 \ (2)^{\circ}$	Block, colourless
$V = 749.03 (5) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.46 \times 0.34 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Siemens SMART CCD area	1893 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
detector diffractometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.048$
(i) scans	$\theta_{max} = 28.3^{\circ}$

ω scans Absorption correction: none 5358 measured reflections 3500 independent reflections

1893 reflections with $I > 2\sigma$
$R_{\rm int} = 0.048$
$\theta_{\rm max} = 28.3^{\circ}$
$h = -9 \rightarrow 8$
$k = -12 \rightarrow 12$
$l = -15 \rightarrow 10$

Data collection: *SMART* (Siemens, 1996); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Siemens, 1996); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXTL* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL*; molecular graphics: *SHELXTL*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL*, *PARST* (Nardelli, 1995) and *PLATON* (Spek, 1990).

HSPR thanks the University Grants Commission, Government of India, for financial support in the form of a major research project. SR thanks the Principal and Head of the Department of Chemistry, Bharathidasan Government College, for encouragement. SSSR thanks the Universiti Sains Malaysia for a Visiting Post-Doctoral Fellowship. The authors would like to thank the Malaysian Government and Universiti Sains Malaysia for research grants.

### References

Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1–19.

Nardelli, M. (1995). J. Appl. Cryst. 28, 659.

Rajamathe, S., Sethumathavan, D., Rao, H. S. P., Chinnakali, K. & Fun, H.-K. (1999). *Acta Cryst.* C**55**, 1127–1128.

Rao, H. S. P., Reddy, K. S. & Balasubrahmaniam, S. N. (1994). Tetrahedron Lett. 35, 1759–1762.

Rao, H. S. P., Rajamathe, S., Shanmuga Sundara Raj, S., Chinnakali, K., Razak, I. A. & Fun, H.-K. (1999). Acta Cryst. C55, 1519–1522.

Siemens (1996). *SMART* and *SAINT*. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXTL. Version 5.1. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

Spek, A. L. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, C-34.